# Incorporating historical information in biosimilar trials

Johanna Mielke, Heinz Schmidli, Byron Jones

Statistical Methodology & Consulting, Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland

- **b** Novaris
- Biosimilars are developed as copies of already approved, large molecule drugs (biologics, the reference product).
- When biosimilar development starts, information on the efficacy of the reference product is available that could be incorporated in the biosimilar trial.
- If the historical information is included, but the historical data do not match the data in the new trial (prior-data conflict), an inflation of the Type I error rate is expected. This will, most likely, not be acceptable in biosimilar development if it occurs in scenarios which are realistic in practice.
- We propose a hybrid Bayesian-frequentist approach for the incorporation of historical information from the reference product into the
  efficacy biosimilarity assessment in such a way that a gain in power is achieved, while the Type I error rate is controlled in all scenarios
  which are realistic in practice.

### Gain in power vs. complete Type I error rate control

#### **Notation and hypotheses:**

Binary endpoint (responder vs. non-responder), parallel groups design

### Flow chart of hybrid Bayes-frequentist approach

Choose a moment-matched Beta prior for R and a non-informative prior for T

- Aim: confirm equivalent response rates of biosimilar (T) vs. reference (R)  $H_0: |p_R - p_T| \ge \Delta vs. H_1: |p_R - p_T| < \Delta$
- Bayesian success criterion ( $X_R, X_T$ : r.v., follow posterior distributions of R,T): B =  $P(|X_R - X_T| < \Delta) > c$  (1)
- Posteriors derived with Bayes' theorem using a non-informative prior (biosimilar) or an informative prior (reference)

#### **Example methodologies:**

• Robustified meta-analytic-predictive (MAP) approach [1]: prior is a weighted sum of a vague prior  $f_v$  and an informative prior  $f_H$ :

$$f_{HR} = (1 - w_R)f_H + w_R f_v$$

• TOST-approach [2]







## Main concepts of the proposed hybrid approach

- Switching rule I: if response rate of R in the new study and in the historical data are very\* different, use the standard TOST approach
- Switching rule II: if the response rates of T and R in the new study are very\* similar, use lower\* critical value
- Response rate-dependent critical values\*
- \*: tuning parameters: can be chosen either automatically or be specified by the user

#### **Response rate-dependent critical values**

 $\hat{p}_R, \hat{p}_T$ : estimated response rates R, T;  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \bar{c}$ : tuning parameters;  $c_1, c_2$ : response rate-dependent critical values

no

Reject if  $B > c_1(\hat{p}_R)$ 

## Case study

- Active substance:
- adalimumab (Humira)
- Indication: psoriasis
- Endpoint: PASI90
- Chosen equivalence margin:
- $\Delta = 0.15$
- Chosen neighbourhood:  $C = [\bar{p}_H - 0.05, \bar{p}_H + 0.05]$
- Informative prior derived [3] based on historical data
- Sample size: n = 175
  - TOST ---- Hybrid

Study	Publication	Responder/Total
1	Menter et al. (2008)	366/814 (45%)
2	Saurat et al. (2008)	55/108 (51%)
3	Thaci et al. (2010)	183/364 (50%)
4	Blauvelt et al. (2017)	166/334 (50%)
5	Reich et al. (2017)	116/248 (47%)
Total		886/1868 (47%)
Type I error rate		Power

yes

Reject if  $B > c_2(\hat{p}_R)$ 



#### Conclusions



#### Acknowledgement:

This project was supported by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI) under contract number 999754557. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of the Swiss Government. The project is part of the IDEAS European training network (http://www.ideas-itn.eu/) from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 633567.



- Approach can achieve a clear gain in terms of power (compared to TOST approach) while maintaining the desired Type I error rate profile
- Tuning computationally expensive, but not difficult for the user to perform
- All tuning parameters can be pre-specified for inclusion in a study protocol
- Choice of width of the neighbourhood is crucial and context-specific

Details: Mielke, J., Schmidli, H. and Jones, B. (2018): Incorporating historical information in biosimilar trials: challenges and a hybrid Bayesian-frequentist approach. *Biometrical Journal*, **60**(3), 564-582.

#### **References:**

[1] Schmidli, et al. (2014). *Biometrics*, **70**(4), 1023-1032.
[2] Schuirmann (1987). *Journal of Pharmacokinetics and Biopharmaceuticals*, **15**(6), 657-680.
[3] Weber (2017). RBesT: R-package.

