Subgroup identification for dose-finding trials via modelbased recursive partitioning

Marius Thomas, Björn Bornkamp, Heidi Seibold & Torsten Hothorn ISCB 2017, Vigo July 10, 2017

U NOVARTIS

Motivation

- Characterizing the dose-response relationship is one of the major tasks in drug development
 - Dedicated (exploratory) dose-finding studies (Ph II)
 - Ph III studies sometimes study more than 1 or 2 active doses
- Subgroup identification techniques are traditionally designed for trials comparing treatment and control
 - How to apply in situations with multiple different treatment groups? Pool active doses, perform subgroup analyses for each dose, ...
 - Additional challenge: dose-response models often non-linear
- More systematic approach
 - Adjust for dose using a dose-response model
 - Assume that dose-response model parameters are different for different subgroups/baseline covariates



Emax function

Consider commonly used Emax function

$$E_0 + E_{max} \frac{dose}{ED_{50} + dose}$$

• Dose-response subgroup analysis setting

dose

(x) + dose

Covariates on E₀: Prognostic covariates (modify response independent of treatment)

 $E_0(\mathbf{x}) + E_{max}(\mathbf{x})$

Covariates on E_{max} or ED_{50} : Predictive covariates (modify response to treatment)

Emax

response

E0

ED50

dose



Emax subgroup examples

 E_{max} subgroups

*ED*₅₀ subgroups



dose

dose



Recursive partitioning for subgroup identification

Recursive partitioning methods are a popular approach to subgroup identification: SIDES (Lipkovich et al., 2011), Virtual Twins (Foster et al. 2011), Guide (Loh et al., 2015),....

- Able to handle interactions between covariates
- Choice of cut-off often part of the method

Attractive in the dose-finding context: **model-based recursive partitioning (MOB)** (Zeileis et al., 2008):

- Not specifically designed for subgroup identification but has been used in the two-arm setting (Seibold and Hothorn, 2016)
- RP method, that fits a parametric model in each node and splits based on covariate effects on the parameters of the model
- Separate model in each resulting terminal node

Mob algorithm

Short overview

Model: $M((Y, Z), \vartheta)$

- *Y*: response, *Z*: other covariates (e.g treatment variables, additional baseline covariates,...)
- *θ*: parameter vector

Partitioning variables: X_1, \ldots, X_K

- Typically baseline covariates, for which we suspect interactions with the treatment
- Used to partition the data and fit a segmented model (if this improves model fit)

Algorithm (at each node) :

- 1. Fit the model by minimizing objective function (log-likelihood, RSS,...)
- 2. For each partitioning variable $X_1, ..., X_K$ test for instability of the parameter estimates
- 3. Choose the variable X_j associated with highest instability for splitting, if (multiplicity-adjusted) p-value for instability test is below α
- 4. Choose binary split over X_j , which minimizes objective function in the two daughter nodes

Mob applied to dose-finding trials

Emax model (for normally distributed data) in this framework

$$M((Y,D),\vartheta): Y_i \sim N(E_0 + E_{max} \frac{D_i}{ED_{50}+D_i}, \sigma^2), i=1,...,n$$

- $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} = (E_0, ED_{50}, E_{max})$
- Objective function Ψ : RSS
- For subgroup analyses we would mostly be interested in covariate effects on ED_{50} and $E_{max} \longrightarrow$ algorithm allows restriction to specific parameters
- Algorithm can be implemented in partykit package with a custom fitting function (e.g. Emax)

Main research questions:

- Does fitting non-linear models on partitioned data improve model fit?
- Is the algorithm able to detect the correct covariate-treatment interactions reliably?
- Can estimation of quantities of interest (treatment effects, MED) be improved over a non-partitioned model?



Simulation setup

- Simulate trial based on study evaluating glycopyrronium bromide in COPD patients (clinicaltrials.gov: NCT00501852):
 - Emax paramaters **E0 = 1.2, Emax = 0.17, ED50 = 18**
 - 5 dose levels: 0 (placebo), 12.5, 25, 50, 100
 - n = 250 (50 patients on each dose level)
 - $\sigma = 0.12$
- Baseline (partitioning) covariates X_1, \dots, X_{10} iid. N(0, 1)

5 Simulation scenarios:

Case	E0	Emax	ED50
1 – Null	1.2	0.17	18
2 – E0	$1.2 + 0.1I_1 + 0.1I_3$	0.17	18
3 –Emax	1.2	$0.17 - 0.17 * I_1 + 0.17 * I_2$	18
4 –ED50	1.2	0.17	18 * 0.2^I ₁ * 5^I ₂
5 – E0 & Emax & ED50	1.2 + 0.1I ₁ + 0.1I ₃	$0.17 + 0.17 * I_1 * I_2 - 0.17 *$ $(1 - I_1) * (1 - I_2)$	18 * 0.2^I ₁

b NOVARTIS



Are correct covariate effects detected?

Run mob on 5000 simulated trials and assess composition of trees

- Frequency of splits over x1, x2, x3, which are either prognostic, predictive or noise (depending on the case)
- Frequency of a trivial tree, e.g. no splits
- Compare effect of *restricted* splitting (only on ED_{50} and E_{max}) and *unrestricted* splitting (on all parameters)
- Here: $\alpha = 0.1$



Simulation results: Identification of correct covariates











case 2: x1 & x3 prognostic

case 4: x1 & x2 predictive (in ED50)



U NOVARTIS

Estimating quantities of interest

Is there an improvement over estimation with the global model?

- Mean squared error of estimated individual treatment effect
 - Estimate patient-specific treatment effects across dose range
 - Average the MSE of predictions over all doses, patients and simulations
- Estimation error of individual minimum effective dose (MED)
 - Estimate patient-specific MED
 - Check if this estimate lies in an interval around the correct estimate
- Also compare the non-linear Emax model to linear models fit within the mob algorithm
- Results shown here only for splitting restricted to ED50 and Emax



Simulation results: Estimation



Conclusions

- Model-based recursive partitioning can be used to perform exploratory subgroup analyses for trials with multiple doses
 - able to detect covariate effects on specific parameters of the model, implicitly handling interactions between covariates and choosing suitable cutoffs
 - Multiplicity control to control the probability of a false positive
 - improves individual estimation of treatment effects and MED, if covariate effects are present over non-partitioned models
 - Parameter restriction can be used to distinguish prognostic and predictive covariates
- Presented methodology can be easily implemented using the algorithms in the partykit package for R.



References

- Foster, J. C., Taylor, J. M., & Ruberg, S. J. (2011). Subgroup identification from randomized clinical trial data. *Statistics in medicine*, *30*(24), 2867-2880.
- Hothorn, T., & Zeileis, A. (2015). Partykit: a modular toolkit for recursive partytioning in R. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, *16*, 3905-3909.
- Lipkovich, I., Dmitrienko, A., Denne, J., & Enas, G. (2011). Subgroup identification based on differential effect search—a recursive partitioning method for establishing response to treatment in patient subpopulations. *Statistics in medicine*, *30*(21), 2601-2621.
- Loh, W. Y., He, X., & Man, M. (2015). A regression tree approach to identifying subgroups with differential treatment effects. *Statistics in medicine*, *34*(11), 1818-1833.
- Seibold, H., Zeileis, A., & Hothorn, T. (2016). Model-based recursive partitioning for subgroup analyses. *The international journal of biostatistics*, *12*(1), 45-63.
- Thomas, M., Bornkamp, B., Seibold, H. (2017). Subgroup identification in dosefinding trials via model-based recursive partitioning, Preprint
- Zeileis, Achim, Torsten Hothorn, and Kurt Hornik. "Model-based recursive partitioning." *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 17.2 (2008): 492-514.



Thank you

This work was supported by funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 633567 and by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI) under contract number 999754557. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of the Swiss Government.





Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra

